



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/006,014	12/04/2001	Chen Xing Su	10209.276	6898
21999 7	590 06/30/2004	•	EXAM	INER
KIRTON AND MCCONKIE			OH, SIMON J	
1800 EAGLE GATE TOWER 60 EAST SOUTH TEMPLE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
P O BOX 45120			1615	
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84145-0120			DATE MAILED: 06/30/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Angliantian Na	L Anulia antia)				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summany	10/006,014	SU ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Simon J. Oh	1615				
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.  after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin  earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti only within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDON	imely filed  ys will be considered timely.  In the mailing date of this communication.  ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 J						
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ This	☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.					
	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-10,12 and 13 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-10,12 and 13 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	awn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is of	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documen 2. Certified copies of the priority documen 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documen application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in Applicatority documents have been receiveu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion Noved in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summar	y (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail D					

Application/Control Number: 10/006,014

Art Unit: 1615

### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Papers Received

Receipt is acknowledged of the applicant's amendment, response, petition for extension of time, and request for continued examination, all received on 14 June 2004.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

The rejection of Claims 1-10, 12, and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Moniz in view of Nair *et al.*, and Wadsworth *et al.* is withdrawn.

Claims 1-10, 12, and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gidlund (U.S. Patent No. 6,346,449).

The Gidlund patent teaches the use for an extract derived from the fruits, leaves, bark or roots of *Morinda citrifolia*. Fruit extracts may be either liquid, as pressed from the fruits and processed in the ways conventional to the art; or they may be processed into a powder (See Abstract; and Column 4, Lines 19-30). The liquid extract of *Morinda citrifolia* will be present in an amount ranging from 0.1 mL to 2 mL per kilogram of body weight of the patient. The dry extract of *Morinda citrifolia* will be present in an amount ranging from 5 mg to 200 mg per kilogram of body weight of the patient (See Column 5, Lines 16-23). Specific dosages will depend on factors such as age and general state of health (See Column 5, Lines 42-49). The

Art Unit: 1615

medicament containing the *Morinda citrifolia* may be in various forms, including a liquid solution, emulsion, or suspension, granules, pills, capsules, and tablets, to be administered in a single daily dose or several daily doses (See Column 5, Lines 56-62).

Although the Gidlund patent is directed towards methods of treating tinnitus, it is disclosed that in other prior art, that *Morinda citrifolia* is known to be useful for other conditions, such as menstrual cramps, arthritis, gastric ulcers, sprains, injuries, and pain (See Column 2, Lines 3-19). It is the position of the examiner that one of ordinary skill in the art would be able to treat a patient for these conditions using the general guidelines disclosed in the Gidlund patent with a reasonable expectation of success. It is also the position of the examiner that the dosages ranges disclosed within the Gidlund patent read on the dosage ranges claimed in the instant application. Therefore, it is the position of the examiner that the property of selective COX-2 inhibition is inherent in the compositions disclosed in Gidlund. Thus, the instantly claimed invention is *prima facie* obvious.

#### Response to Arguments

The applicant's arguments, received on 14 June 2004, have been considered, but are not found to be persuasive.

Applicant's arguments with respect to the pending claims have been considered but are most in view of the new grounds of rejection presented above.

Application/Control Number: 10/006,014 Page 4

Art Unit: 1615

Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Simon J. Oh whose telephone number is (571) 272-0599. The

examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30 am to 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Thurman K Page can be reached on (571) 272-0602. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Simon J. Oh Examiner

Art Unit 1615

sjo

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600